

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 ADOM RATNER-STAUER

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a
14 municipal corporation; LOS
ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT.

15 Defendants.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No: 2:24-cv-07043-JLS-SSCx

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH
LOCAL RULES**

1 On October 21, 2024, instead of filing a single motion to dismiss prior to filing a
2 responsive pleading, Defendants City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles Police
3 Department filed two motions to dismiss under Rule 12: one under Rule 12(b)(1) and
4 another under Rule 12(b)(6). The two motions combined well exceed the word
5 limitations established by Local Rule 11-6.1 and this Court's Standing Order. It appears
6 to the Court that, instead of showing good cause to exceed the page limitations for a
7 motion and seeking an order permitting an overlength brief, Defendants simply
8 attempted an end run around the page limitations. Defendants are ordered to show cause
9 as to why they should not be sanctioned, or their second motion stricken, for failure to
10 comply with the Local Rules. The Court will consider a single re-filed motion within the
11 page limits dictated by Local Rule 11-6.1, submitted no later than **seven (7) days** of this
12 Order's issuance, to be an acceptable response to this Order.

13
14 DATED: October 24, 2024


15 HON. JOSEPHINE L. STATON
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28